

1 shown good cause as to why an ex parte application is
2 necessary here and how Plaintiff would be prejudiced if
3 forced to bring a properly noticed motion. See Mission
4 Power Engineering Co. v. Continental Cas. Co., 883
5 F.Supp. 488, 492 (C.D. Cal. 1995) (holding that in
6 order to justify ex parte relief, the moving party must
7 first show that its cause will be irreparably
8 prejudiced if the underlying motion is heard according
9 to regular noticed motion procedures). Accordingly,
10 Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application is **DENIED** as
11 procedurally improper.

12
13 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

14 DATED: January 3, 2012.

15
16 RONALD S.W. LEW

17

HONORABLE RONALD S.W. LEW
18 Senior, U.S. District Court Judge
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28